

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/430,052 10/29/1999		10/29/1999	MATTHEW T. CRISFIELD	5010/099	6709
28286	7590	01/31/2002			
IP PATENTS	-		EXAMINER		
FAEGRE & E	NTH ST	REET	MARTIR, LILYBETT		
BOULDER, CO 80302				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2855		
			DATE MAILED: 01/31/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*							
		Application N .	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summer	09/430,052	CRISFIELD ET AL.				
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAN INC DATE And	Lilybett Martir	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 C</u>	October 2001 .					
2a)	_	s action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispo	sition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applic	cation Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner		•				
10)[☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) \boxtimes The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>05 October 2001</u> is: a) \boxtimes approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
2) 🔲 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/430,052

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 10/5/01 have been approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lew et al. (Pat. 5,663,509) in view of Wenger. et al. (Pat. 5,394,758). Lee et al. teach the claimed invention, including:

A first flow tube as in element 44 having an inlet end and an outlet end, said first flow tube forming a semicircular arc between said inlet end of said first flow tube and said outlet end of said first flow tube as noted in Figure 5; a second flow tube as in element 45 having an inlet end and an outlet end, said second flow tube forming a semicircular arc between said inlet end of said second flow tube and said outlet end of said second flow tube; a driver as in element 48, affixed to said first flow tube at a point on said semicircular arc of said first flow tube; that is substantially perpendicular to a bending axis of said second flow tube, wherein said driver oscillates said first flow tube and said second flow tube in opposition to each other (Col. 11, lines 13-16); and

Application/Control Number: 09/430,052

Art Unit: 2855

Page 3

sensors as in elements 50 and 51, affixed to said first flow tube on said substantially semicircular arc of said first flow tube and said second flow tube on said substantially semicircular arc of said second flow tube, as in claim 1.

- An inlet manifold as in element 46, affixed to said inlet end of said first flow tube and said inlet end of said second flow tube to affix said first flow tube and said second flow tube to a pipeline, as in claim 2.
- A substantially 90 degree bend in a flow path through said inlet manifold
 (Note the bend in the flow path going from element 46 to elements 44 and 45)
 as in claims 3 and 5.
- An outlet manifold as in element 47, affixed to said outlet end of said first flow tube and said inlet end of said second flow tube to affix said first flow tube and said second flow tube to a pipeline, as in claim 4.
- An inlet manifold as in element 46, affixed to said inlet end of said first flow tube and said inlet end of said second flow tube to affix said first flow tube and said second flow tube to a pipeline; An outlet manifold as in element 47, affixed to said outlet end of said first flow tube and said inlet end of said second flow tube to affix said first flow tube and said second flow tube to a pipeline; and a spacer as in element 52, affixed to said inlet manifold and said outlet manifold to maintain a fixed distance between said inlet manifold and said outlet manifold, as in claim 6.
- An inlet end affixed to said inlet manifold as in element 46; an outlet end affixed to said outlet manifold as in element 47; as in claim 7.

But he does not teach:

- A first brace bar, affixed to said first flow tube proximate said inlet end of said first flow tube and affixed to said second flow tube proximate said inlet end of said second flow tube; a second brace bar, affixed to said first flow tube proximate said outlet end of said first flow tube and affixed to said second flow tube proximate said outlet end of said second flow tube; and sensors that are pick-offs in a position that allow said pick-off sensors to detect the greatest amount of Coriolis force at a low amplitude vibration, as in claim 1.
- A top side, a bottom side, a front side, and a back side each extending between said inlet end of a spacer and said outlet end of said spacer to form a rectangular body; and openings through said top side of said spacer through which said first flow tube and second flow tube are affixed to said inlet manifold and said outlet manifold, as in claim 7.

Wenger et al. (Pat. 5,394,758) teaches a coriolis type mass flow sensor having a first brace bar as in element 32, affixed to said first flow tube proximate said inlet end of said first flow tube and affixed to said second flow tube proximate said inlet end of said second flow tube; a second brace bar as in element 33, affixed to said first flow tube proximate said outlet end of said first flow tube and affixed to said second flow tube proximate said outlet end of said second flow tube; and sensors that are pick-offs as in elements 17 and 18; and a top side, a bottom side, a front side, and a back side each extending between said inlet end of a spacer and said outlet end of said spacer to form a rectangular body (Note that in Fig. 2, element 13 exhibits all of these features); and

Art Unit: 2855

openings through said top side of said spacer (Col. 4, lines 4-7) through which said first flow tube and second flow tube are affixed to said inlet manifold and said outlet manifold.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the inertia force flowmeter of Lew et al. by using the teachings of the coriolis mass flowmeter of Wenger et al. providing said inertia force flowmeter with brace bars positioned near the inlet and the outlet of the flow tubes, for the purpose of controlling the oscillations of the flow tubes; by providing sensors that are pickoffs positioned in place of the differential pressure sensors in Figure 5 of Lew et al., for the purpose of measuring the vibrations produced in the coriolis flow meter and converting said mechanical movements into electrical signals; and by providing Lew et al. with a rectangular body that extends between the inlet and the outlet of the device, for the purpose of maintaining a constant distance between the inlet manifold and the outlet manifold of the flowmeter therefore improving the accuracy of the desired flow measurements.

Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lew et al. in view of Wenger et al. as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Ollila et al. (Pat. 6,044,715). Lew et al. in view of Wenger et al. disclose the claimed invention, except for:

A casing that encloses said first flow tube and second flow tube affixed to said top side of said spacer, as in claim 8.

Art Unit: 2855

A front side wall; a back side wall; and a mass affixed to said front side and said back side wall to change vibrational modes of said housing, as in claim
 9.

Ollila et al. teaches a coriolis effect mass sensor as in element 400 that has a housing composed by elements 701 and 450. Said housing has a front side wall 701, a back side wall 450 and a mass as in elements 703 and 704, that is affixed to said front side wall and said back side wall to change vibrational modes of said housing.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mass flow sensor of Lew et al. as modified by Wenger et al. using the teachings of Ollila et al. for the purpose of protecting the sensor from the atmosphere that surrounds it thereby minimizing any impact on it's measurement performance.

Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lew et al. in view of Wenger et al. as applied to claim 1above. Lew et al. in view of Wenger et al. disclose the claimed invention, except for:

- The position of said pick-off sensors is substantially 20-50 degrees from said bending axises of said first and said second flow tube, as in claim 10.
- The position of said pick-off sensors is 30 degrees from said bending axises
 of said first and said second flow tube, as in claim 11.

Since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233; and since it has been held that rearranging parts of

an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to position in Wenger et al. pick-off sensors in a selected angle relative to said first and second flow tubes for the purpose of detecting the greatest amount of Coriolis forces caused by the flowing material.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 5, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues various features that Wenger et al. does not teach. However, in the present office action Wenger et al. is not relied on for those teachings. Hence, the argument is moot. Applicant's proposed amendment introduced new issues that have been fully addressed in the above office action by means of new prior art.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/430,052

Art Unit: 2855

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Page 8

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lilybett Martir whose telephone number is (703)305-

6900. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Benjamin Fuller can be reached on (703)308-0079. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are

(703)305-3432 for regular communications and (703)305-3432 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-

0956.

LИ

Lilybett Martir

Examiner

Art Unit 2855

ROM

January 28, 2002

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800